

BURNISTON Parish Council



Public responses for planning application NY/2025/0030/ENV.

Construction of a temporary wellsite for the appraisal of gas, including drilling operation, proppant squeeze and flow testing operation and site restoration at Land East of the Mill Yard, Burniston Mill, Coastal Road, Burniston, Scarborough, YO13 0DB

Applicant - Europa Oil and Gas Limited, 30 Newman Street London W1T 1PT

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

A poem written by a 12 yr. old resident of Burniston.

Don't ruin our beautiful village

I have lived and grown up in this beautiful village,

which I am proud to call my home.

And in my head the image forms

of a world in which we can no longer roam

I'm sure that you've all heard of climate change,

this terrible thing which will finish our earth.

We could stop it by not using fossil fuels.

Is that not what our planet is worth?

These harmful fuels, like oil and gas,

which we use in our everyday lives,

are filling what we used to call 'fresh air'

and letting it no longer survive.

This all links to this thing they call fracking

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

and you might be wondering why.

Well, fracking's a way that they get fossil fuels

and it doesn't look good in my young, fearful eyes.

Because I fear the worst.

If we let them do this,

then our beautiful village,

will no longer be bliss.

By Willow Pratt

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

2

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Fracking/Nextdoor post/Europa application

Date: Wed, 5 Feb 2025 19:59:04 +0000

From: Chris Clark [REDACTED]

To: clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk <clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk>

Thank you for your Nextdoor post at: (17) Newsfeed—Nextdoor and indeed the Parish's general enthusiasm in arguing against Europa.

As you can see I'm on South Cliff, and the point we have in common is both are affected by the consequence of burning fossil fuels, though I'm not affected by truck movement, poisoned ground, earth tremors, reduced agriculture yield, or any of the other adverse outcomes scientists are measuring.

I've also been advised on another fracking extension application that in that case, objections had to be narrowly framed around a technical aspect or else they are not acceptable for consideration.

I'm aware of the Horse Hill judgement.

So I'm very happy to write a supportive objection on behalf of Burniston Parish Council, but will it be treated as valid, or are there a string of objections that legally NYC will have to disregard so I need to frame a response to avoid these?

Let me know thoughts about any constraints, and then I'll happily compose something for Burniston and NYC.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

3

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject: Drilling Mill Yard Burniston - Objection

Date: Thu, 6 Feb 2025 18:32:59 +0000 (UTC)

From: [REDACTED]

To: clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk <clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk>

Dear Members (To Whom It May Concern)

I write regarding a recent planning application proposal by Egdon Resources on behalf of Europa Oil and Gas to undertake a proppant squeeze (frack) on land at a site in Burniston, a few hundred metres from the North Yorkshire Moors National Park and by the North Yorkshire and Cleveland Heritage Coast.

As such, I wish to raise my concerns and strongest objections on the proposals put forward by Europa Oil and Gas for a plan to drill an exploratory well and carry out a small scale frack (for now) in an area of outstanding natural beauty close to the aforementioned park and coastline. The site is extremely close to housing too, a former refuse tip with methane gas and a conservation area with the villages of Burniston and Scalby.

An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is necessary. The company stated at an open day last year using a narrative of words to make everyone feel there is nothing to worry about, yet they offer little factual evidence or detail to back this up. They state several times the phrase "not expected to" without strong supporting evidence. Not expected to does not offer fact or guarantee, nor does it explain what the effects would be if a something went wrong or how they would resolve potential issues, how and in what timescales and the effects of possible occurrences including hazards to health and the environment on local people, wildlife, the 24/7 effect and dangers through traffic, noise and physical damage to existing infrastructure and housing. They offered platitudes with no guarantees or factual evidence to support their claims.

The site is a sensitive area as described given its environment and proximity to National park and Heritage Coastline. A wide variety of wildlife such as Owls, bats, birds, deer and other such land animals roam the area, the coastline has many seals and fish and various sensitive aquatic life at the coastline and the cliff edges, local and visiting birds reliant on nesting on the cliff edges. A watercourse and a sea cut runs through or near the villages of Cloughton, Burniston and Scalby.

The proposals will impact the life of the people and wildlife of the area to suggest otherwise is frankly for the birds. The Air quality will be impacted by dust, increased lethal diesel particulates from the increased HGV traffic and drilling. Noise and light pollution will impact 24/7 during the lifetime of the proposed proppant squeeze (frack), which after all may lead to further planning applications and proposals and more significant harm were the company to discover fossil fuels they would hope to extract albeit at a time when the country and its government claims a policy of working toward net zero. This type of scheme flies in the face of reason in such climate critical times. At their open day they stated that if this goes ahead, they would later apply to undertake the same operation but under the existing villages of Burniston and Cloughton. This is where their

prize awaits, under the very homes of residents causing a real risk to peoples health and housing and their mental well-being. The worry has brought on an unbelievable personal stress causing me distress and manifesting in a condition medically identified as Alopecia Areata as a result.

The increased HGV movement will not only add to lowering the air quality on their routes, they will increase the risk of proven respiratory damage to people and wildlife. The lorries will be carrying all sorts of toxic waste increasing risks to the general public and wildlife and infrastructure harm.

Burniston has a former refuse tip and the risk of methane gas release in the area has to be assessed and taken account of. There are all sorts of as yet unknown and unaccounted for potential risks that must be assessed with due diligence. I should also add the site entrance as such would mean large HGV's entering the site would need to cross to the opposite side of the carriageway to swing in to the site safely. This would add much increased risk to other road users at the location, which is upon a bend in the road as is. The increased traffic poses a danger to pedestrians and drivers alike. The roads are in a poor state and will be worsened.

Some properties in Burniston and Scalby date back several centuries and as such have little or no foundation such as can be found on Coastal Rd/Cross Lane Burniston and the Main St. Earth tremors have resulted elsewhere through fracking even small scale as currently proposed, damage to buildings

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

have occurred elsewhere through such operations and the close proximity to the site and area to be worked on can not be overlooked and poses a real risk. The company offer no assurances other than words and platitudes. Thousands of people will be affected through these proposals but the company offer no thought to local people.

When sites have been vacated after works they are often left in a terrible state and not returned to their original natural state. Profit is key that is their driver, the risk to residents health & safety, their wishes for a quiet peaceful village life and effect and harm to local wildlife appears to be an acceptable by product in their drive for profits.

The company infers local jobs creation when in truth the well paid specialised jobs will be brought in from outside the area as it is unlikely the local labour market will have the trained workforce for such a dangerous and specialised procedure.

We have no idea how the water supply, underground wells etc that do exist will be affected nor the overground becks and water courses and wildlife reliant on them will be affected by the proposals before the council. In other areas, water supply has been contaminated through such work posing a significant risk to people and wildlife.

I believe that the distance from the National Park is a consideration as In law I am led to believe there is a minimum distance for operations like fracking of this type to be undertaken something in the region of 3km. If this is true then the site is inappropriate for that reason too and should be assessed and permission refused.

The risk to the coastline and heritage pathways must be considered. As it is erosion caused by the wind, sea and climate change could be further impacted by nearby fracking affecting aquatic life and nesting birds not to mention risking properties becoming ever near the cliff edges. The risk to coastal pathways and erosion and damage is real and collapse occur naturally along the shorelines without added risk by such drilling.

I urge you to refuse this application by or for Europa Oil and Gas proposals so that we can all protect our environment, wildlife, people, infrastructures and avoid risks to air, light and noise pollution too and the risk of methane gas release from the former refuse tip at Burniston as well as upstream and downstream gases discharge by the site itself. I also note a recent landmark decision by the country's Supreme Court which ruled that onshore fossil fuel developments must consider the climate impacts of burning any produced oil, gas and coal known as downstream emissions. The emissions risk polluting the air quality and therefore risk to all humans and other living creatures from the toxic emissions produced and displaced in our air by this proposal.

If North Yorkshire Council are serious about its route map to carbon negative, then this application must be opposed due to the impact on the environment and its taxpaying people and the abundance of wildlife it has a duty of care for.

I am advised there is a geological fault line that runs under the village that could potentially cause real risk of tremors or worse and the potential impact of this operation could prove catastrophic for the community if triggered or disturbed.

Finally, given the nature, risks associated by the proposals put forward, lots of locals appeared unaware and I feel the timescale to receive comments should be extended given the potential severity of impact on the population rather than it be allowed to quietly proceed under the radar as it seems to have thus far. Please do all you can to represent the best interest of your constituents and visitors alike rather than the interests of what is after all a large for profit American company seeking to cause damage to our local people, wildlife and area of outstanding natural beauty so close to a National Park and Heritage Coastline not to mention all our health and well-being.

I thank you for reading my genuine objection and trust you will put this forward to the officials who are involved in deciding this application

Lee Colclough, [REDACTED]

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

4

BPC Website: OBJECTION

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:New Form Entry #58 for Contact

Date:Wed, 5 Feb 2025 17:31:27 +0000

From:Burniston Parish Council <noreply@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

You have a new website form submission:

1. **Name**

Tracey Stephens

2. **Email Address**

tvstephens@btinternet.com

3. **Message**

Good evening.

I would like to object to the proposed planning application for an exploratory gas drilling rig at Mill Yard Coastal Road Burniston. Tracey Stephens

This message was sent from <https://burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk>.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

5

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject: Planning Application for an exploratory gas drilling rig at Mill Yard, Coastal Road, Burniston

Date: Wed, 5 Feb 2025 16:41:33 +0100

From: louise Parnaby <louiseparnaby7@gmail.com>

To: clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

In response to your post on Facebook:

I am a resident of Cloughton and I am opposed to the planning application.

- I am concerned with the environmental impact the proppant squeeze will have on the area - pollution, tremors, noise, subsidence.
- I am concerned about the number of HGVs required for the set up and ongoing operation of the business and the impact on traffic and pedestrians.
- The proposed rig is near the Heritage coastline, the Cinder Track and the Cleveland Way. How can this be approved? Not good for tourism.
- The extraction will spread under the surrounding area. My understanding is that a previous application was rejected some years ago as it included extraction in the North Yorkshire Moors. Who would monitor or be accountable if the extraction was to spread to this area as it would if they are successful and plan to be here for 20 years?

I attended the open afternoon Europa held in Burniston and Cloughton Village Hall (an afternoon during the week when most people were at work) and their information was contradictory and therefore not to be trusted.

I don't think this way of extracting gas is good for the country or the Earth and I am opposed to this as a process in general.

Kind Regards

Louise Parnaby

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

6

E-mail: IN FAVOUR

Subject:Fracking at Burniston

Date:Fri, 7 Feb 2025 10:58:27 +0000

From:Chris Keegan <chris.keegan1@btopenworld.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

I believe fracking in Burniston is essential to the wellbeing of this country. The financial rewards are huge. Just think of the alternatives: import of fuel from abroad, the lights going out when the sun isn't shining or the wind isn't blowing. Fracking in other countries such as the USA have disproved many of the myths of causing earthquakes and sinkholes. People will hardly notice the whole operation, even in full flow. I urge you to use common sense and not to be persuaded by the often hysterical outcries of a few eco-warriors. I live in Newby, so not far from the site.

Regards, Mr C M Keegan

Sent from my iPhone

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

7

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Fracking application objection

Date:Fri, 7 Feb 2025 15:02:49 +0000

From:Judith Earley <searley.judith27@gmail.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

The proposed 'proppant squeeze' is of course fracking, and fracking on any scale is dangerous. Dangers include: long lasting and catastrophic environmental contamination of land and water due to accidents and disposal of the contaminated water and industrial waste, earthquakes, burning of fossil fuels leading to further effects on the environment. Fracking has been banned in the UK and this proposal must not be allowed to go ahead.

I put in a strong objection to the plan and ask that the planning application for the fracking be stopped.

Thank you

Judith Earley
Scarborough resident.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

8

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Total opposition to proposed planning application by Europa Oil and Gas

Date:Sat, 8 Feb 2025 16:21:55 +0000

From:Lyn Wimbush <lynangela64@gmail.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Good afternoon

I am writing in response to the post on Burniston Parish Council Facebook page regarding the above planning application and your request to let you know our feelings, whether for or against.

Both I and my husband are TOTALLY AGAINST this proposed application. We have been since the whole debacle started last year and our views have not changed. We are residents of Burniston, living in Burniston Gardens, extremely close to the site and will be greatly impacted should our worst nightmare happen and the application is approved. We have a view of the site from our front window and will see and hear everything - especially at night when currently we have total darkness and silence.

We will be lodging our full opposition once the application has been submitted by Europa Oil and Gas but in brief here are a few more local reasons in addition to the enormous environmental reasons why it should not go ahead:

1. Regardless of the process being called a Proppant Squeeze, it is still small-scale fracking and just because it is not specifically mentioned in the Government moratorium it is fracking which is currently banned.
2. The proposed site is yards from a large stretch of pristine Heritage Coast, a designated SSSI site, which is also under threat of exacerbated coastal erosion due to climate change and unstable extreme weather patterns. The geology is unsuitable with large fracture lines which may be worsened by forced fracking - Europa cannot conclusively prove they will not impact this. It is within yards of The Cinder track and the Cleveland Path.
3. The number of HGV's visits, 6 days a week is horrendous. The road network is not suited to such quantities - it struggles enough during the tourist season without residents and tourists alike having to contend with HGV's. The proposed entrance is directly opposite the entrance to Burniston Nurseries which is now opening in March 2025 - a popular garden centre with plenty of visiting traffic. This area will now become one huge accident waiting to happen.
4. The site is extremely close to housing, a Wedding venue and two Caravan sites. The drilling is proposed to be 24/7 for 7 days a week on a site that will require lighting for the same period - this will have a very negative impact overnight on residents and tourists alike. This area is renowned for its dark skies and this condition will be destroyed.
5. It will not bring jobs and economic benefit to the area - it will have quite the reverse effect, destroying tourism and degrading a popular and valuable Tourist area that brings much needed income to Scarborough and North Yorkshire County Council.

I could go on and list other reasons plus the huge environmental reasons but that will go in our personal objection. This email is for you, Burniston Parish Council, to be aware of our total opposition to the proposal, to be aware of our anger and upset that it should even be happening.

Kind regards

Lyn and Keith Wimbush

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

9

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Drilling rig planning application

Date:Sat, 8 Feb 2025 16:50:06 +0000

From:Andrew Volans <andrew.volans@btinternet.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

My views a Burniston resident:

I am against the plan to drill at the mill site for the following reasons :

- 1) I live within 600m of the proposed site so will be impacted by noise, light pollution, flare pollution and any chemical release as well as the increased traffic along Coastal Road. Admittedly this is a pure NIMBY reason.
- 2) the gas already available off shore should be sufficient for the period to planned electrification and land based drill sites will not add significantly to the National supply
- 3) we should not be seeking further carbon fuel sources given the current trajectory of climate change 4) the site is close to the cliff (600m) which is: unstable, a site of scientific interest, and a tourist pathway
- 5) the water table will be impacted, we see the springs on the cliff edge, they will be contaminated by propanant fluid
- 6) the local water supply is from an aquifer, I am informed, this drill site will traverse that and given that only 20% of propanant fluid is recycled, there will be contamination 7) the geological survey, in their proposal, suggests there are major faults in the deep layers under Burniston but do not address the risks of propanant leakage into these faults and the effects on cliff stability and the possibility of earth tremors.
- 8) the major sewage processing plant for the region is within 600m of the drill site and no mention is made of any risk assessment for that site.
- 9) if gas is found, it will not lead to any benefit to local or National users as the price is dependent on international prices not local availability.
- 10) the proposal suggestion of increased local jobs is spurious as they will be bringing in their own contractors as we have seen with Woodsmith mine.
- 11) the lorry traffic through Burniston will be a significant nuisance to all residents
- 12) if there is any disturbance to local residents property the company lawyers will deny any responsibility and subsequent insurance problems will fall on the local residents not the company.

Those are the most pressing points that come to mind after reading the proposal.

Andrew Volans

Bridge Close,

Burniston

Sent from my iPad

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

10

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject: Europa Plan for Burniston Exploratory Gas Drilling

Date: Mon, 10 Feb 2025 07:14:18 -0000

From: nickdunkerton@googlemail.com

To: clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Hello.

Our name is Nick and Leigh Dunkerton, we live in Cloughton. We have seen you are looking for feedback on how local residents feel about Europa's plan. I have spoken with a lot of local people about this and no-one is for it. They are either against the idea of it or say they don't know enough to make an informed opinion.

In brief I object to what they are proposing for a number of reasons including but not limited to.

Environmental.

Where we live is a lovely place, we have the national park, Cleveland way, Cinder track, Heritage coast all on our doorsteps. The proposed initial drilling place is very close to the coastal cliffs, these cliffs are already prone to erosion and do not need the additional risk of vibration or seismic activity from the drilling. Also it's a haven for walkers and this site will be very visible from the coastal cliffs, Cleveland way, hence I would fear the impact on all the people who walk the area. Europa are quick to point out its only temporary its impact will only be short, but they plan to leave the drill head in place for the future full plan to destroy our home. If they find profitable gas deposits they will then be looking at extending the drilling to I believe it is 5 more drill heads in the area. Where will these go. For the exploratory phase they will burn the gas off. These flames will be noisy and visible for people in the area. They will pollute the air. If they then later extend to full blown drilling how are they going to transport it to the Grid. Where will the pipelines be placed and I fear the disruption to our environment from putting in the pipelines.

They call this 'Proppant Squeezes' and not 'Fracking'. However at their own drop in session they held at Burniston and Cloughton village hall their own representative referred to it as fracking but on a low level... The fact is they drill holes and cause explosions to fracture the rock. So this is 'Fracking'. They say there is no chance it will lead to earthquakes. But when asked about their reference to earthquake does that mean there will be no chance of it causing any seismic activity they would not go into that question, just stuck to the prepared word 'Earthquakes'. From all I have read there is very little science that gives the level of fracking that seismic activity becomes a risk. Hence the risk to the area and people living here is very real.

I fear for the contamination to the ground and water from pollutants they use. They are quick to point out they have ways to mitigate this risk through working practices and regulation. This has been said at other sites yet still it occurs. Mitigation is to reduce the risk it doesn't remove it and regulation requires monitoring and is reactive. One it's happened it's happened and it's too late for our home.

Government Targets on the environment.

The government are looking to get to net zero and remove our reliance on fossil fuels. Given this why do we have to fight to stop new gas or oil fields. We should instead be looking at green renewables such as solar and wind. Let's face it one thing we get a lot of here is wind. Europa also say that it helps to make us self-sufficient and reduce the cost of energy. This however is not true. It goes onto the grid and can be sold to the country that gives the most profit to them. Gas prices are set by the global markets not the government so will not lead to reducing our reliance on anything or the price of our energy.

Local Economy

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

Europa say it will be good for the local economy brining jobs to the area. Again I would argue this is not the case. They will employ their own skilled people these will not be local people. They point out their people will need accommodation and will spend in the area..... But so do tourists and I would fear for the tourist economy our area relies on. How many people will be put off visiting an area with what they say could be the largest onshore gas field in the UK.

I do not believe there is any local benefits to this and only negatives for the local population.

Financial Resilience of the Company

If god forbid this is not rejected I would hope that the financial resilience of the company is checked and that a bond is demanded to ensure that when it is needed we have a financial guarantee in place to clean up and de-commission. Hoping that the company remains viable for the life of the project will not help if something happens and the company cannot fulfil its commitments. Who will then be left to clean up, it will be the local people.

I hope this makes our concerns clear and we hope forward to your support and to look forward seeing your report

Regards

Nick and Leigh Dunkerton
Granary Way Cloughton

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

11

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Application for test drilling

Date:Mon, 10 Feb 2025 11:38:09 +0000

From:Conal <conal103@gmail.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Sent from my iPad

We would like to register our objection to the test drilling and in fact to the whole of the project. In a climate where we are being asked to consider the negative impact of burning fossil fuels this venture seems ridiculous. If this company were proposing a wind or solar installation we would have supported their application but we feel that this project needs to be cancelled.

We are Burniston residents and feel that this project will have a negative impact on the local area and the implications for the whole of the planet.

Conal and Carolyn McGinley Sunny View
South End
Burniston

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

12

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Burniston propanant squeeze development

Date:Tue, 11 Feb 2025 09:33:32 +0000

From:Susan Cooper <madepiri@icloud.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Dear Clerk,

Regarding the gas exploration of the coast near Burniston I would like to share a little of my experiences as a former resident of Texas during the development of the EagleFord Shale oil and gas development that primarily used hydraulic fracturing. I know the Burniston development is classified as propanant squeeze but that is just small scale fracking.

I currently live in Newby but lived in Uvalde Texas from 1993 to 2016. Like the current Burniston development the first few test wells in Texas were not of great concern but the discovery of marketable oil and gas in the region changed a huge swath of rural farmland into a major industrial zone. We experienced the breakdown of roads and bridges due to heavy traffic, the night was lit by thousands of gas flares burning off noxious gasses, bad odours enveloped the area. Earth tremors cracked residences, I felt them myself. Local water boards were rendered helpless as the fracking wells required huge quantities of clean water to inject into their wells, in return the landscape received many ponds of contaminated post fracing liquid or it was injected back into old wells where it contaminated the aquifer water. There were also social problems with the teams of labourers brought in to work the rigs.

Now I don't say all this will happen at Burniston, but it could if the wells prove to be commercially viable. The concept of allowing land tremors so near a coastline prone to slips and erosion is asking for trouble. Heavy industrial traffic will damage road infrastructure and it will be the council, not the gas company, who pays for it. Same if the water is contaminated. When you are paying for this damage tourism revenues may decrease because a semi industrial area has less tourist appeal than the current attractive rural aspect. Is it worth industrialising the coast for the sake of a few temporary jobs for brought in construction labour, more profits for Europa who are based in Texas, and some more fossil fuel to be sold on the open market? There is no way raw gas will be (or safely could be) directed into household pipes at Burniston thereby saving local people money. Please think about this development carefully before going ahead with approvals.

Thank you,

Dr Susan Cooper

Associate Professor of Wildlife Sciences, Texas A&M University (Retired)

Sent from my iPad

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

13

E-mail: OBJECTION

On 13/02/2025 10:54, Suzan Robinson wrote:
To members of Burniston Parish Council:

Please would you consider our joint views regarding our total opposition to the proposal to install an exploratory rig by Europa Oil & Gas in Burniston. Their intention to *explore* for gas naturally implies further development in the future, otherwise initial exploration is pointless.

Our reasons for opposing this plan are as follows:

This will be a major industrial development in a currently small, rural village, extremely close to residential houses, businesses and caravan parks.

Residents will be adversely affected by toxic smells and fumes, dirt on the roads from extra HGVs, 24/7 drilling noise, light pollution and flaring.

There will be a huge increase in HGVs on our already narrow country roads, potentially putting vulnerable roads users (eg. people with pushchairs, young children, the elderly, those with a disability) at greater risk when trying to cross the road, negotiate their cars onto the main roads or using sections of narrow pavement. Thus, in some instances, being against the Equalities Act for Disability.

It will ruin our enjoyment of local footpaths, the local Cinder Track, (which Sustrans has recently spent a huge amount of money on to improve the surface), and a major national footpath that is the Cleveland way. Horse riders could be badly affected as their horses may be startled by the loud drilling noises. The rig will be visible even from the nearby National Park.

The proposed development is within an area of Special Scientific Interest and the beautiful Heritage Coast.

Wildlife could be adversely affected, including migratory birds, and nocturnal birds and animals (because of the floodlights, increased night-noise, flaring)

The proposed site is very close to the weak cliffs, and there are major geological fault lines beneath the village which could cause seismic movement, which will only be revealed when it happens.

We are very concerned about the very detrimental effect this will have on many peoples' state of mental health, and well being because of stress, anxiety, sleep disturbance (for many of the above reasons) and upon community cohesion.

This is a fossil fuel development, which is completely contrary to attempts to meet Net Zero carbon emissions, We are deeply concerned that this development is also in complete opposition to the UKs goals to transition to renewable sources of energy. There will inevitably be downstream emissions adversely adding to our already unrefuted Climate Change crisis.

Yours faithfully
Dr Paul Robinson
Mrs Suzan Robinson

Magpie Cottage, Bridge Close, Burniston, Scarborough YO130HS.

14

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Proposal for Gas Drilling

Date:Mon, 17 Feb 2025 12:43:38 +0000

From:Jayne warburton <jaynewarburton150@yahoo.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Hi

I live at 78 High Street Burniston

I would like to tell you that I object to the proposed exploration for gas by Europa.

My view is determined by

1. The necessary increase in road traffic in and around the village and its environmental impact.
2. The potential for disruption to and quality of water sources.
3. The fact that we are already facing significant changes to the climate as a result of the burning of fossil fuels.
4. The governments commitment to renewable energy sources.

I hope you will take my concerns into consideration in making any representations to North Yorkshire Council when they are in receipt of the planning application.

Yours

Jayne Stockdale

Sent from my iPhone

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

15

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Re: proposed drilling for gas in Burniston
Date:Mon, 17 Feb 2025 21:00:27 +0000
From:Daryl Whitelegg <darylwhitelegg@gmail.com>
To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

With regard to the proposed drilling for gas by Europa oil and gas in Burniston, I am totally against this proposal.

I moved to Burniston in July 2023 for the beautiful scenery, peace and quiet, access to the wonderful unspoilt coastal environment, fresh air and better quality of life.

I feel if this drilling was allowed to go ahead all of the above would be compromised, it would have a detrimental effect on the residents of this unspoilt heritage coast line.

This would impact all residents due to the increase in hgv's through the village, noise and air pollution, destruction of the environment for the next 20 years.

So, in conclusion please help preserve the special local environment by opposing the application.

Mrs Daryl Whitelegg
32a Limestone Grove
Burniston

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

16

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Proposed Europa Development
Date:Mon, 17 Feb 2025 21:25:44 +0000
From:mark whitelegg <markwhitelegg@gmail.com>
To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.org.uk

Dear Burniston Parish Council,

Subject: Objection to the Proposed Europa Development in Limestone Grove

As a resident of Limestone Grove, I strongly object to the proposed Europa development for the following reasons:

* **Environmental and Community Harm:** The development poses a significant threat to the local environment and the well-being of residents. The anticipated noise and pollution will negatively impact our quality of life, offering no discernible benefit to the local community. Furthermore, the documented risk of seismic activity, including tremors, associated with the hydraulic extraction of gas, as evidenced by numerous UK examples, is a serious concern.

* **Lack of Necessity and Exploitation:** The claim that this gas is needed for UK consumption is dubious. It is far more likely that the extracted gas will be sold on international markets, prioritizing profit for companies with no vested interest in the long-term well-being of the affected local communities. This exploitation of our region for financial gain is unacceptable.

* **Climate Crisis Exacerbation:** This proposal represents a significant step backward in our efforts to combat the climate crisis. Continued fossil fuel extraction is directly counterproductive to the urgent need to reduce emissions. We should be investing in and prioritizing renewable energy sources, not exacerbating the climate emergency.

* **Detrimental Impact on Local Businesses and Tourism:** Our local economy relies heavily on tourism. The presence of 100ft high drilling rigs, visible across a wide area, poses a substantial risk to the reputation of the entire Scarborough area. This industrialization of our landscape will deter visitors and negatively impact local businesses for years to come, jeopardizing jobs and livelihoods.

* **Transformation of Natural Beauty into Industrial Wasteland:** This development will irrevocably transform an area of outstanding natural beauty into an industrial landscape. The visual and environmental impact will be devastating, destroying the very qualities that make our region a desirable place to live and visit.

I urge you to reject this proposal and protect our community, environment, and future.

Sincerely,

Mark Whitelegg

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

17

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:fracking site

Date:Wed, 19 Feb 2025 09:04:24 +0000 (UTC)

From:Chrissy White <chrissysnowwhite@yahoo.com>

To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk <clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk>

Good morning i am writing to state my vehement objection to Europa's plan.

Firstly we live on a very beautiful but vulnerable coastline, we have all seen the cliffs receding at an alarming rate and i stronlgy believe that any underground interference could speed up the erosion.

The site is far too close to a large village and we will be subjected to the constant air pollution and smoke when they are burning off.

Our roads are in a very poor condition and there will be a vast number of HGVs driving in and out. If they are coming from the north poor Cloughton will be totally congested.

The coastguard headquarters are beside the entrance and if they have an emergency call out they could be held up by the queue of HGVs entering and leaving.

Thank you all for your support in fighting this application Yours sincerely Chrissy White

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

18

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Re: Europa proposal

Date:Wed, 19 Feb 2025 19:56:42 +0000

From:Mrs A Adnitt, Clerk to Burniston Parish Council <clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk>

To:Yahoo Mail <ros_otoole@yahoo.co.uk>

Thank you for your email Ros

regards

Audrey Adnitt

Clerk to Burniston Parish Council

On 19/02/2025 09:46, Yahoo Mail wrote:

My thoughts on this matter are as follows:

1. As a nation we should concentrate on increasing our use of renewable energy rather than looking for more gas and oil. Yes, I know we will need to use some gas for years yet but we are more likely to make good progress towards efficient and affordable green energy when the other is in short supply. (Necessity is the mother of invention.)
2. The environmental impact of fracking in Burniston is of great concern. We live in a beautiful area which is heavily reliant on tourism and these proposals will have a visual impact on the immediate area in addition to causing noise and pollution. The impact on flora and fauna will be considerable.
3. Local people will be adversely affected by noise, air and possibly water pollution and the social impact of heavy goods traffic through the villages could be considerable. The journeys of young children going to and from Lindhead school will be fraught with even more danger than they are at present.

Regards,.

Ros O'Toole.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

19

Facebook OBJECTION



Burniston Parish Council

Published by Richard Parsons

5 February · 🌐



Planning application for an exploratory gas drilling rig at Mill Yard, Coastal Rd, Burniston.... [See more](#)

Send message

[See insights and ads](#)

[Boost post](#)

You and 8 others

6 comments 27 shares

Like

Comment

Send

Share

View more comments



John Parkes

Whoever owns Mill Yard- shame on them for allowing this!

5w [Like](#) [Reply](#) [Hide](#)



Comment as Burniston Parish Council



RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

20

Facebook: OBJECTION



Rob Stockdale

Perhaps you could 'pencil in' an Extraordinary Meeting, like the one that was so well attended for the Screening Application? We just need the final date!
I will send in my objection in due course after that!

7w Like Reply Hide Edited



Author

Burniston Parish Council

Hi Rob, there was a council meeting last night and we decided to hold a drop in session. Date to be confirmed.

7w Like Reply



Author

Burniston Parish Council

Date 24th feb for Cloughton in the Reading rooms and 28th for Burniston. In the village hall.

5w Like Reply

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

21

Facebook: OBJECTION

**Burniston Parish Council**
Published by Clare Topham
· 29 January · 

The [Frack Free Coastal Communities](#) group are holding a drop-in session for the local community on Saturday 1st Feb between 10 and 12am at the Burniston Methodist Church. Parish Councilors will be attending from Cloughton and Burniston to listen the the views of the residents. Looking forward to seeing you and hearing what you have to say.

[See insights and ads](#) [Boost post](#)

 Frack Free Coastal Communities and 3 others 1 comment 2 shares

 Like  Comment  Send  Share

**David Wright**
Apologies unable to make that. Please list me as against. Back door company can not be trusted and I have told them that!

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

22

FACEBOOK: OBJECTION

most relevant ▾



Answer as Burniston Parish Council





Susan Kennedy

The prospect of this is appalling! It must not happen!

4w Like Reply Share

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

23

FACEBOOK: OBJECTION

David Wright

4/2/25

Apologies unable to make that. Please list me as against. Back door company can not be trusted and I have told them that!

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

24

IN FAVOUR

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO12 6HW 15

Not fair to import gas from unregulated third world countries ans to reduce gas prices

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

25

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HH 41a

- . Visual impact on our Heritage Coast
 - . Environmental impact of
 - extracting the fuel
 - burning it. Not very green
 - possible seismic impact
 - Increased HGV traffic
 - Noise
 - . Against governments green agenda and net zero aspirations
-

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

26

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HH 41a

- 1) We should not be expanding fossil fuel extraction any more, especiallu in light of BP expanding again and Trump renegeing on climate agenda.
 - 2) Locally - we are a heritage Coast area of Natural Beauty, the coast ine is unstable and any force enough to fracture rocks will increase erosion.
 - 3) The environmental impact of burning the gas in the whole gas field (not just the exploratory phase) will be hugely damaging
 - 4) It will impact local traffic greatly & noise and visual impact tourist and farming industries.
-

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

27

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HZ 28

Excess Traffic Noise

Increased Vehicular Pollution

Light pollution

Decrease in air quality due to drilling

The rig destroys natural beauty and view

Effect on tourism, people walk the Cleveland Way and the Cinder track destroys natural beauty and view

We should be decreasing our carbon footprint and not adding to it.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

28

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0DF 23

The affect on Burniston Village environment, light invasion, noise
Traffic Noise and danger from large lorries
Endager and loss of wildlife

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

29

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HZ

Increase in traffic and associated pollution
further damage to our roads
light pollution in an area that is next to a dark sky zone
air quality affected by dust from drilling
natural beauty being affected
impact on tourism
this is not reducing greenhouse gasses

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

30

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0AT Seagrove

It is environmentally unfriendly

it is a risk to structural integrity of local properties

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

31

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0AT Seagrove

It will be bad for the environment, we live in the national park, which is an area of natural beauty.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

32

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HR 24

WRITTEN BY A CHILD

It will pollute the air, untablise the coastline, make our house go down in value, and it will be very noisy all the time. Maybe there will even be the earthquake.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

33

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HR 24

WRITTEN BY A CHILD

I don't want there to be an earthquake

I don't want there to be lots of noise because I live near it (child)

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

34

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO12 5JP 40

Concerns over HGVs accessing the site and how this impacts on the fluidity of traffic.
Concerns of a proper EIA and genuine regard to the ecology of the area.
Too close to Heritage Coast and impact on cliffs, wildlife, and water table.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

35

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HR 24

Worried about change to the environment, collapse of coastline, increased climate warming, damage to local infrastructure with HGVs on the road, downturn in price of local housing, damage to local environment with building works, NO benefits to local community as no effect on gas prices

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

36

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0DH 32a

There are no benefits to the local community and many drawbacks including the overall negative environmental impact. It will turn beautiful rural landscape into an industrial development with 100 ft high drilling rigs spoiling the views and negatively impacting the whole area's tourist economy. All this to line the pockets of a private company with no interest in the people impacted.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

37

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HJ 48

My 12 year old daughter has written poems and designed posters to object. This shows how the younger generation thinks. She is happy to speak publically about it.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

38

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HS 7

Negative impact on local area
Increase in heavy goods traffic
High drilling derrick with constant flaring
Pollution produced by process
Risk to heritage coastline
No local jobs will be created.
It will not reduce the price of gas
Downstream contribution to climate change.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

39

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0DH 32a

The pollution caused by extra HGVs

Pollution of the atmosphere from the extraction of gas plus escaping methane

Damage potential to the water course from where NY attains its water

Potential for earth tremors from drilling

Noise and light pollution (24 hour operation)

Gas will not benefit the local community - sold to highest bidder!

Effect to local tourism/major local economy

Gas consumption in the UK is declining - so is this necessary

The effect on health - both physical and mental health to the local population - it has caused my mental health to suffer. My wellbeing has been severely impacted.

I am concerned there will not be an independent EIA - surely an in house assessment cannot be taken seriously.

It will impact the dark skies and enjoyment of local footpaths, the newly refurbished cinder track and the Cleveland way. These attract many visitors.

Footpaths through Burniston are very narrow and it will make walking through Burniston very dangerous.

Families use these to walk to school. HGVs will be very close to them. There will be massive impact to whole of the Scarborough area with toxic waste travelling through the whole of the town. We need to protect the Heritage Coast of North Yorkshire for the future generations of this beautiful rural community.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

40

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HJ 78

Local Impact Burniston and Cloughton

- Traffic volumes,
- >1000 HGVs,
- Danger to children,
- Delays/exhaust fumes,
- No-one will want to buy houses
- Much closer to houses than other sites

Wider - Scaby

- Drilling underneath
- Fracking
- impact on Scarborough - Traffic

Drilling noise/pollution

Danger to water

Wider Impact -

- Not needed as gas use is declining
 - Climate change - LA fires and Poland floods
 - Company Desperate
 - Pric share dropping
 - misleading info
 - Just in ot for profit to shareholders
-

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

41

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HS Magpie Cottage

I am very concerned about the affect of drilling nise, flare, dirt, dust, nasty smells, toxic gasses affecting my wellbeing, mental health, and anxiety levels very adversely in my own garden.

There will be hundreds of HGVs on our already narrow roads and Pavements.

This is a fossil fuel development which is very bad for climate change, CO2 levels are alreadyat crisis levels as indicated by rising floodwater, wildfires, hotter summers, wilder storms

It will NOT result in cheaper gas bills

It is industrial over development in a pretty rural area/village.

Downstream emmissions must be taken into account.

It will ruin my enjoyment of walking on the nearby cinder track which has recently been hugely improved with investment by sustrans.

And the cleveland way/local footpaths.

It is far too near residentail residences and businesses.

I am concerned about siesmic movement - Europa cannot say for certain this will not happen.

The areas less than mile from the North Yorkshire Nationa Park. It is on the Heritage Coast and near an area of Special Scientific Interest.

I am worried about the adverse affect on bird life, wildlife, nocternal birds and animals such as owls etc due to floodlights at nght and drilling noise at night.

There is a huge Peak Fault beneath Burniston and Cloughton which may be adversely affected.

It is too near weak cliffs

It will inevitably have a b ad effect on tourism, caravan parks, hotels, B&Bs, air B&Bs etc

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

42

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HJ 76

I object to the proposal because

- increase in HGVs
 - eyesore in place of natural beauty
 - impact on tourism
 - Possibel poor air quality (bad smells)
 - Erosion of the cliffs nearby
 - Possible pollution of water
 - possible pollution of land
-

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

43

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO12 7NQ 24

I object to the proposa because of inappropriate.

Increased HGV traffic through Scarborough and local roads in Burniston and surrounds

Concerns about air pollution air quality

access issues, road suitability

Industrial activity in a rural area; national walking route (Cleveland Way); tourist centre.

Reduced air quality and noise/air pollution.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

44

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HP Keystone House

With regards to the environment and climate crisis I do not believe that we should be extracting more fossil fuels. What is the long term impact from the use of these?

The land in the area is fragile - what impact will this process have on it? Will cliffs collapse?

We have two young children - I worry for their health - what will this do to our local environment and water supply.

The road is very busy at present and more lorries will be dangrous - especially at school drop off and pick up.

This seems wrong - people before profit

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

45

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HS Magpie cottage

Noise and light pollution 24/7

Toxic fumes/gas from venting/flaring with probable harm to local resident's mental health and living conditions

Production of toxic water that needs disposal.

Additional HGV traffic through the village

Undesirable and inappropriate industrial development within countryside that is very close to Heritage Coast area and cinder track

Potential damage to local geology from pressure and water injection process.

Potential damage to water table.

No need to produce more gas as the needs for gas are reducing.

More gas use will inevitably cause more greenhouse gas production and potential climate change.

More overseas/USA involvement in control of our energy choices and development reduces our control of our energy security.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

46

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0DG 14

I live in Burnsiton because it is a beautiful, unspoilt part of the country

Increased traffic danger to children and pedestrians.

Damage to wildlife and unstable cliff.

Air quality would be affected adversely by any drilling

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

47

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO12 7NQ 24

An increase in HGV traffic on roads that are already busy. This will lead to an increase in air pollution.

The drilling and traffic will increase noise pollution.

The drilling site and accompanying traffic will have an affect on a beautiful area enjoyed by many visitors in addition to residents which will lead to making the area unattractive to visitors and then affect commerce in the whole area.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

48

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HJ 76

It shoudnt be in a village.

Impact on air quality.

Lots of heavy lorries.

Ffect on houseprices.

Possible siesmic activity.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

49

OBJECTION

DROP IN BURNISTON 28/2/25

POST CODE and HOUSE NUMBER YO13 0HS 7

It will cause mental and physical ill health because of the noise,pollution and light 24/7 right next to the village.

The roads cannot take any more heavy vehicles. The pavements are narrow and its dangerous for vulnerable pedestrians.

The use of gas is in sharp decline and the direction of the government is green energy.

The area is beautiful with walks like the cinder track and the Cleveland Way. The cliffs and natural beauty will be at risk.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

50

E-mail: OBJECTION

Subject:Friday meeting
Date:Wed, 26 Feb 2025 19:32:52 +0000
From:Helen Webster <hlwebster10@gmail.com>
To:Clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Not sure I can attend, but I'm against it, from things I've read it does have environmental impact, and, affects house prices . I would be willing to be educated better on the matter, but as it stands it's as no from me

Helen Webster
High Mill Dr
Scarborough

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

51

EMAIL: INCONCLUSIVE

Subject:Fracking Burniston and Cloughton
Date:Thu, 27 Feb 2025 15:16:22 +0000
From:Steven Bowes <sbowes74@gmail.com>
To:Clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Hello,

I'm not entirely against fracking as the UK needs to find its own natural resources, and projects like this bring money and local investment. However, I strongly disagree that our resources should be sold overseas. We need this ourselves, and if it is possible to ship and distribute overseas and still make a profit, it clearly shows it would be far cheaper to feed it into our existing gas network. There is probably a large, high-pressure gas main that runs between Scarborough and Whitby, so this is easily achievable.

As this will probably go ahead, why don't we prosper from this locally? Set demands, similar to mineral rights; any house, building, or business should be entitled to a full suite of solar, battery, and heat exchange systems, as well as a significant discount on gas bills. Furthermore, community halls or churches, etc., should receive funding for things like new roofs.

As I say, I strongly object to the gas being shipped abroad, especially when Ed Miliband banned gas extraction in Gainsborough when we so desperately need it.

Thanks.

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

52

LETTER (contained in email from Masayo Ozawa: OBJECTION

George Grandison
Masayo Ozawa
45 High Street, Cloughton
North Yorkshire, YO13 0AE
27th of February 2025

Burniston Parish Council
Newby and Scalby Town Council
Cloughton Parish Council

Re: Europa Oil and Gas plan for appraisal drilling at Burniston Mill Site

Dear Sir/Madam,

We are residents in Cloughton and are writing in connection with the above plan made by Europa Oil and Gas for the construction, drilling, and testing of this site to extract gas through a proppant squeeze process.

Having considered fully, we wish to object for the following reasons:

1. It will pollute the beautiful natural resources we have in this heritage coast, by gas flaring, chemical substances and dust produced in the drilling process.
2. It will damage wildlife, birdlife and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). We are responsible to preserve this country's natural heritage.
3. Our precious farmland will be destroyed and will possibly stay for next 20 years and another 4 rigs will be built if the production is extended. The site location is also too close to homes and rural villages. It does not seem to be safe and practical to have a large-scale industrial site in such a close distance to residences.
4. Over 1,000 HGVs will be passing our already busy, narrow roads, where many children, the elderly, dogs and horses often are present. We are concerned about safety on the roads.
5. This Heritage Coastline and Cleveland Way have been loved by many holiday makers from around the world. Industrialising such a beautiful area is out of character and affects local businesses and tourism.
6. Climate change is at its tipping point now. This plan encourages using fossil fuels, which cause further global warming. The plan is not appropriate from a sustainability point of view and is against the country's net zero policy.
7. Earth tremors may cause damage to already-fragile coastal geological faults.

We hope that our concerns are fully taken into account by the Planning Committee during the review process.

Yours sincerely,

George Grandison Masayo Ozawa

RESPONSES TO BURNISTON PARISH COUNCIL

53

EMAIL: OBJECTION

Subject:Re: Objection Planning NY/2025/0030/ENV
Date:Sun, 9 Mar 2025 11:08:30 +0000 (GMT)
From:T Stephens <tvstephens@btinternet.com>
To:clerk@burnistonparishcouncil.gov.uk

Good morning

I wish to object to this planning application on the following basis: Drilling and propellant squeezing will cause pollution and will damage an already fragile coast which is suffering erosion It will not preserve our unique and beautiful heritage coastline It will not create cheaper energy bills for residents of North Yorkshire The drilling site is directly above a major fault system underground which will increase underground tremors which would cause subsidence and thus result in local people becoming homeless and could result in buildings insurance being unobtainable for local residents It will increase traffic on an already busy dangerous road which is already often closed in winter due to ice and accidents It could release toxic pollutants into our environment Consideration needs to be given to the emissions created when oil is burned after being sold We need to end the chaos and destruction caused by fossil fuels Energy requirements ought to be met by other less environmentally damaging means other than drilling and propellant squeezing such as solar panels to create electricity which have already been in situ in this area for sometime We need to ensure a sustainable future for the UK and this will not happen if we allow propellant squeezing or drilling for oil in these destinations We need to protect wildlife and humans who live in this area It could release radon into the air which can increase lung cancer risks Propellant squeezing can have and has had physiological effects and tests are available which show decrements in cognitive tests When oil or gas is extracted from underground it changes the pressure beneath the surface which causes rock movements that lead to earthquakes. This has been accounted for in geological studies. It could cause neurological problems respiratory diseases and cancer depending on chemicals used and leaked into the environment. By allowing fracking or propellant squeezing or drilling or underground storage of energy in this area we will increase emissions brine and fluid fracturing fluid leakage risks water contamination air pollution noise pollution and health risks which will have an adverse effect to an already overstretched under funded health and service council .

Tracey Stephens
11 Dale Close Burniston Scarborough YO13 0ED

Sent via BT Email App
